Advancing Inclusive Education in Nepal

Addressing Out-of-School Children, Child Labor, and Regional Learning Imperatives

Prepared by: Prof. Mahesh Banskota, PhD | July 2025

Executive Summary

Nepal has made substantial policy commitments to inclusive education, anchored in constitutional guarantees and global frameworks like SDG 4 and the CRPD. Yet challenges persist: approximately 900,000 children remain out of school— disproportionately girls from marginalized groups, children with disabilities, and child laborers. This brief offers a comprehensive evaluation of Nepal's policy environment, implementation gaps, comparative regional strategies, and strategic recommendations. In particular, it highlights how regional dimensions— geographic, political, and cross-national learning—can strengthen Nepal's education trajectory. A harmonized policy framework, strengthened data systems, locally adapted interventions, and regional collaboration are vital to ensuring inclusive, equitable education for all.

Policy Landscape and Frameworks

National Commitments:

Nepal's Constitution (2015) guarantees free basic and secondary education as a right for all citizens.

The Inclusive Education Policy (2017) advocates for mainstream schooling, inclusive pedagogy, and intersectional equity—placing Nepal ahead of many regional peers in policy design.

The Special Education Policy (2016) provides disability-focused support but perpetuates parallel systems that risk undermining inclusion.

The Disability Rights Act (2017) mandates accessibility and teacher training but tolerates segregated schooling, creating CRPD compliance risks.The National Education Policy (2019) reinforces inclusion but lacks clarity on the integration of inclusive and special education frameworks.

The Education Act provides structural mandates without sufficient implementation guidance.

International and Regional Anchors:

Nepal's commitments under SDG 4 and UN CRPD signal alignment with global rights-based education goals.

Regional dialogues with India, Bangladesh, and South Asian bodies offer pathways for policy benchmarking, best practice exchange, and coordinated development efforts in addressing shared challenges like child labor and educational exclusion.

Findings from Provincial Studies

Province 2 has the highest student-to-school ratio at both basic and secondary levels, indicating overcrowding and urgent need for infrastructure expansion.

Bagmati Province has piloted inclusive education models in Kalika and Bharatpur municipalities, supporting over 2,400 children with disabilities across 154 schools.

Karnali and Sudurpashchim provinces face the steepest challenges in terms of physical accessibility, teacher deployment, and inclusive infrastructure.

Province 1 and Gandaki show relatively better performance in early childhood development (ECD) enrollment and gender balance, but still lag in disability- specific support.

Province 1

Enrollment & Access: Net enrollment rate at basic level is 94.3% (EMIS 2022); ECD participation is above national average.

Infrastructure: Only 31% of schools have disability-friendly toilets; rural districts like Bhojpur and Taplejung lag behind (UNICEF ROSA 2021).

Teacher Capacity: 12% of teachers trained in inclusive pedagogy (MoEST 2022); uneven distribution across districts.

Disability Inclusion: Assistive devices available in 18% of schools; resource classes present in 22 schools (CEHRD 2021).

Challenges: Mountainous terrain limits outreach; low uptake of inclusive scholarships in remote areas.

Province 2

Enrollment & Access: Highest school-student ratio nationally (324:1 at basic level); GATE program active in 8 districts (NCE Nepal 2020).

Infrastructure: Only 19% of schools have ramps; sanitation facilities are inadequate in over 40% of schools (UNICEF MICS 2019).

Teacher Capacity: Less than 8% of ECD facilitators meet minimum qualification standards (NCE Nepal 2020).

Disability Inclusion: Dropout rate among Dalit girls with disabilities exceeds 35% in Saptari and Siraha (ADRAD 2021).

Challenges: Deep-rooted caste stigma; weak monitoring and low parental engagement.

Bagmati Province

Enrollment & Access: Net enrollment rate at basic level is 96.8%; inclusive pilots in Bharatpur and Kalika support 2,400+ children with disabilities (UNICEF ROSA 2021).

Infrastructure: 42% of schools have disability-friendly toilets; urban-rural divide persists.

Teacher Capacity: Highest number of trained inclusive educators (over 600); strong NGO partnerships.

Disability Inclusion: Resource classes in 154 schools; assistive tech available in 35% of urban schools.

Challenges: Fragmented policy implementation; limited scale-up of successful models.

Gandaki Province

Enrollment & Access: Gender parity index in ECD is 1.02; basic education enrollment at 93.5% (EMIS 2022).

Infrastructure: Only 27% of schools have tactile signage or accessible pathways (UNICEF ROSA 2021).

Teacher Capacity: Dalit and Janajati representation among educators is below 10% (NCE Nepal 2020).

Disability Inclusion: Disability-specific programs are limited to Pokhara sub- metropolitan area.

Challenges: Teacher bias; weak parental engagement in rural districts.

Lumbini Province

Enrollment & Access: Net enrollment at basic level is 95.1%; provincial planning units active in 6 districts.

Infrastructure: 34% of schools have disability-friendly toilets; uneven distribution across districts.

Teacher Capacity: Inclusive training coverage at 14%; resource classes present in 38 schools.

Disability Inclusion: Assistive devices available in 21% of schools; low uptake in Banke and Bardiya.

Challenges: Data gaps; limited community awareness and demand for inclusive education.

Karnali Province

Enrollment & Access: Basic education enrollment at 89.7% — lowest nationally (EMIS 2022); community school coverage is high.

Infrastructure: Only 16% of schools meet minimum accessibility standards; lowest school density in Nepal.

Teacher Capacity: Fewer than 50 special educators across the province; severe shortages in Jumla and Kalikot.

Disability Inclusion: Inclusion programs supported by GIEN in Birendranagar; limited reach beyond municipal centers.

Challenges: Remoteness, resource constraints, and minimal NGO presence.

Sudurpaschim Province

Enrollment & Access: Female facilitator ratio in ECD exceeds 60%; basic education enrollment at 92.4%.

Infrastructure: Less than 25% of schools have disability-friendly toilets; poor accessibility in hilly districts.

Teacher Capacity: Inclusive pedagogy training coverage below 10%; low ECD staff qualifications.

Disability Inclusion: Provincial data on disability inclusion is sparse; few resource classes operational.

Challenges: Low ECD uptake; fragmented provincial planning and weak technical capacity.

Strategic Implications

Decentralized Planning: Provincial governments must tailor inclusive education strategies to local demographics, terrain, and resource availability.

Data Disaggregation: Strengthening provincial-level data systems (e.g., IEMIS) is essential for tracking disability, caste, gender, and geographic disparities.

Capacity Building: Provinces need targeted investment in teacher training, inclusive curriculum development, and assistive technologies.

Cross-Province Learning: Sharing successful models (e.g., GATE program in Province 2, inclusive school retrofits in Bagmati) can accelerate progress.

Sources for Provincial Inclusive Education Data

- *UNICEF ROSA –Disability-Inclusive Education Practices in Nepal National and provincial Infrastructure, teacher training, assistive tech, disability inclusion across provinces*
- *NCE Nepal –Exploration of Educational Statistics: Provincial Perspectives All 7 provinces Enrollment ratios, ECD participation, school-student ratios, Dalit/Janajati representation*
- *ADRAD & Nepal Inclusive Education Consortium –Participatory Action Research Report Kathmandu, Banke, Dang Local implementation of inclusive education, disability access, resource class quality*
- *People in Need –GIEN Provincial Interventions Karnali, Lumbini, Madhesh*
- *Inclusive school practices, community engagement, gender and disability inclusion*
- *UNICEF MICS & DHS Surveys (2019–2022)*
- *National with provincial disaggregation Household-level data on disability, education access, sanitation, and ECD participation*
- *MoEST & CEHRD – EMIS Reports District and provincial Enrollment, infrastructure, teacher deployment, resource class distribution*
- *Education Profiles – UNESCO & Global Partnership for Education*
- *National and regional Policy frameworks, provincial disparities, inclusive education definitions and governance*

Country	Out-of-School Children	Child Labor Prevalence	Inclusive Education Notes
Nepal	900,000	29%	Strong intersectional framework; fragmented implementation
Bhutan	29 million (2018)	3.9%	NEP 2020 merges inclusive and special education; equity challenges persist
Bangladesh	1.6 million	12.2%	Focused non-formal education; limited disability-specific intervention

Nepal's **Equity Index** demonstrates locally effective reduction in exclusion (60% in targeted districts) and offers regional replicability. However, implementation remains limited by budget constraints and coordination deficits—lessons that mirror India's centralized but under-funded delivery and Bangladesh's rural access disparities.

The Equity Index in Nepal draws from a combination of national datasets and surveys to measure disparities in education access, participation, and outcomes. Here's a breakdown of the main sources used in its development and the data types reflected in the tables:
- Education Management Information System (EMIS) Managed by the Department of Education, EMIS provides school-level data.
- Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) – 2011 edition
- Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS) – 2019 edition

Regional Dimensions: Strategic Importance

Nepal's regional context offers unique leverage for advancing inclusive education:

Geographic Diversity: Mountainous terrain and federal governance demand decentralized, adaptable models that regional partners (like Bangladesh) have also experimented with.

Cross-Border Learning: India's NEP 2020 experience in merging education frameworks offers comparative insight, while Bangladesh's outreach in rural education complements Nepal's own flexible models.

Labor and Inclusion Trends: Nepal's child labor prevalence is regionally high; coordinated efforts across South Asia could tackle labor migration, shared economic pressures, and reintegration challenges.

South-South Cooperation: Nepal could lead regional civil society collaboration on inclusive pedagogy, teacher training, and disability rights-based education, turning its intersectional framework into a model for neighboring countries.

Key Challenges

Policy Fragmentation and Overlap: Separate inclusive and special education tracks complicate service delivery and create inefficiencies.

Child Labor and Economic Pressure: Nearly 2 million Nepali children engage in labor, often in hazardous conditions, perpetuating educational exclusion.

Infrastructural Deficiencies: Fewer than 30% of schools meet basic accessibility standards.

Teacher Distribution and Skills: Limited inclusive education training and urban-centric deployment hinder rural responsiveness.

Data Limitations: The IEMIS lacks capacity for disaggregated data needed to track and respond to intersecting vulnerabilities.

Persistent Social Barriers: Cultural stigma, caste bias, and gender discrimination still impede access and retention.

Successful Initiatives

GATE Program: An effective bridge model reducing dropout among vulnerable girls by 60% in several districts.

Disability-Focused Scholarships: Targeted financial support reduces economic barriers and promotes retention, especially for marginalized girls.

Community-Based Rehabilitation (CBR): Comprehensive reintegration support for children with disabilities—including prosthetics, health services, and psychosocial counseling.

Flexible Schooling Models: Alternative systems accommodate working children, balancing learning with livelihood needs.

NGO Campaigns: Grassroots efforts combat stigma and raise parental demand for education, particularly in urban and peri-urban zones.

Strategic Recommendations

Policy Harmonization
- Integrate Inclusive and Special Education Policies into a unified national framework, guided by CRPD principles and operationalized through clear governance roles.
- Create an inter-ministerial task force to coordinate inclusive efforts and monitor progress.

Teacher Capacity
- Scale up inclusive pedagogy training across all districts, with priority on rural deployment.
- Establish regional knowledge exchange platforms to share teaching strategies and training methodologies with neighboring countries.

Infrastructure and Accessibility
- Retrofit existing schools for accessibility using inclusive design principles, especially in mountainous and underserved regions.
- Secure regional and international funding to bridge infrastructural gaps, leveraging platforms like SAARC Development Fund and GPE.

Data Systems and Monitoring
- Upgrade IEMIS to collect intersectional data, enabling responsive planning and inclusive budgeting.
- Adopt regional data standards to allow cross-country comparisons and benchmarking of inclusive progress.

Child Labor Reduction through Education
- Integrate vocational training and livelihood pathways into flexible schooling options for working children.
- Launch regional campaigns to address child labor norms, promote education continuity, and reduce early dropout.

Values-Based Community Engagement
- Embed aspirational values—such as resilience, equity, and social responsibility—into curricula, teacher training, and community campaigns.
- Collaborate with regional CSOs to amplify messaging and support networks for marginalized children.

Conclusion

Nepal's inclusive education journey is both promising and unfinished. With progressive policy frameworks and proven localized interventions, the country is well positioned to become a regional leader in education equity. However, to meet SDG 4 targets by 2030, Nepal must harmonize its policy architecture, invest strategically in infrastructure and human capital, and capitalize on regional collaboration. Empowering every child—across caste, gender, ability, and geography—is not only a national imperative but a regional opportunity for shared prosperity.

References

Center for Education and Human Resource Development (CEHRD). (2022). *Flash I Report 2078 (2021/22)*. Ministry of Education, Science and Technology. https://nepalindata.com/media/resources/items/0/bFLASH_I_REPORT_2078_2021-22.pdf

Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS), & UNICEF Nepal. (2020). *Nepal multiple indicator cluster survey 2019: Final report*. Kathmandu: Government of Nepal and UNICEF. https://www.unicef.org/nepal/media/11081/file/nepal%20mics%202019%20final%20report.pdf

Global Partnership for Education. (n.d.). *Nepal: Reaching the most disadvantaged children with education*. https://www.globalpartnership.org/content/nepal-reaching-most-disadvantaged-children-education

Human Rights Watch. (2011, August 24). *Futures stolen: Barriers to education for children with disabilities in Nepal*. https://www.hrw.org/report/2011/08/24/futures-stolen/barriers-education-children-disabilities-nepal

International Labour Organization (ILO), & Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS). (2021). *Nepal child labour report 2021: Based on data from the Nepal Labour Force Survey 2017/18*. ILO. https://www.ilo.org/publications/nepal-child-labour-report-2021

Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (MoEST), & Center for Education and Human Resource Development (CEHRD). (2023). *Flash I report 2079 (2022/23)*. https://nepalindata.com/media/resources/items/0/bFlash_I_report_2079_2022_23.pdf

National Campaign for Education Nepal (NCEN). (2020). *Exploration of educational statistics: Provincial perspectives*. https://ncenepal.org.np/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Exploration-of-Educational-Statistics-Provincial-Perspectives.pdf

Puri, P. K., Mahat, B. M., & Khati, D. J. (2024). *Unlocking potential: A comprehensive analysis of inclusive education policies for children with disabilities in Nepal. Education Journal, 13*(4), 148–160. https://doi.org/10.11648/j.edu.20241304.11

SAARC Development Fund. (n.d.). *About SDF*. Retrieved [insert retrieval date], from https://www.sdfsec.org/about-us/about-sdf/

UNICEF Regional Office for South Asia. (2021). *Mapping of disability-inclusive education practices in South Asia*. UNICEF. https://www.unicef.org/rosa/media/16976/file/Regional%20Report.pdf